and production during the last two year's, year-wise?

THE MINISTER OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI VEERENDRA PATIL): (a), (b) and (d) A Technical Team consisting of a Technical Officer of this Ministry and a representative from DGTD visited the plant of M/s. Hoechst in September, 1984 to make an on-the-spot verification of installed plant and machinery. The Technical Team lias since submitted its report. Final view on the report is yet to be taken.

(c) production of Baralgan Ketone |iy M/s. Hoechst during the last two years is as under: v

1983-84	..	3910 kgs;
1984-85	..	2410 kgs.

The licensed capacity of the company in respect of Baralgan Ketone at the time of submission of the application for re-endorsement *of,* capacity was 500 kgs.

(e) The re-endorsement was issued based on the circular issued by the Department of Industrial Development for re-endorsement of capacities.

(f) To the extent, information is available, Baralgan Ketone is not produced in the organised sector, by any unit excepting M/s. Hoechst.

Fixed assets of Glaxo

2271. SHRI MIRZA IRSHADBAIG: Will the Minister of CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS be pleased to .state;

(a) what were the fixed assets of Glaxo exclusive of current liabilities and provisions as on 30th June, 1985.

(b) what were the investments made at different plants after 30th June, 1385;

(c) what were the investments made on plant, machinery, land, buildings, and; other installations during the period 1st July, 1984 to 30th June,

t.d) what was the pre-tax profit, the net worth, and the capital employed during the year ending June, 1985:

(e) what was the total amount indicated in their annual reports under equalisation fund account during the last three years, year-wise; and

(f) what was their sales turnover of formulation during the year ending June, 1985?

THE MINISTER OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI VEERENDRA PATIL): (a) to (f) The annual Report of M/s. Glaxo Laboratories (India) Limited for the year ending June, 1985 has not yet become available. The details upto the year June 1984 have already been made available in reply to Rajya Sabha Un-starred Question No. 1248 answered on 13th May, 1985.

Show (:aii.te Notice to Drug Maaufac-turer$_s$

2272. DR. JOSEPH LEON D'SOUZA- Will the Minister of CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that show cause notice for contravening the provisions of section 13(1) (d) of I(D&R) Act, 1951 was issued to Burroughs Welcome, Glaxo Pfizer, Hoechst and Abbot;

(b) whether it is a fa^{r}t that Companies have submitted representations;

(c) whether decision has been taken on the representations;

(d) if so, what action was taken against each;

(e) whether it is a fact that instances of such contraventions by certain other companies have come to the notice of Government;

(f) if so, what are the names of the companies and what action has been taken jtgftiast

(g) whetheir it is also a fact that these compaines are manufacturing certain Vitamins and Tonics without any industrial licence which are products of douhtful validity; if so, the names of these products; and

(h) whether it is a fact that there are a number of substitutes of these products available and if so, their names,

THE MINISTER OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI VEERENDRA PATIL): (a) to (d) M/s. Burroughs WeUcome, M/s. Glaxo Laboratories India Limited, M/s. Pfizer Limited have been issued prima facie Show Cause notices for contravening the provisions of Section 13(1) (d) of the Industries (Development & Regulation) Act, 1951. These companies have submitted representations which are being looked into.

(e) and (f) Instances of such contravention in respect of the following companies have come to the notice of the Government:—

1. M/s. Bayer India Limited
2. M/s. S. G. Pharmaceuticals S.
M/s. Sarabhai M. Chemicals
4. M/s. Synbiotics Limited
5. M/s. Cynamid India Limited
6. M/s. Alembic Chemicals Limited
7. M/s. Hoechst Pharmaceuticals Limited
8. M/s. Abbott Laboratories Limited
9. M|s. Astra IDL Limited
10. M/s. Fairdeai Corporation of India Limited

1. M/s. Bayer India Limited
2. M/s. S. G. Pharmaceuticals S. M/s.
Sarabhai M. Chemicals
4. M/s. Synbiotics Limited
5. M/s. Cynamid India Limited
6. M/s. Alembic Chemicals Limited
7. M/s. Hoechst Pharmaceuticals Limited
8. M/s. Abbott Laboratories Limited
9. M|s. Astra IDL Limited

10. M/s. Fairdeai Corporation of India LimitedThe Technical Team has conducted on the spot verification of the above companies and has since submitted its reports. In *3* cases namely, M/s. Synbiotics Limited M|s. Alembic Chemi- | cals Limited and M/s. Fairdeai' Cor- *I.* poration of India Limited, final view was taken that there was no case of infraction of Industries (Develpoment & Regulation) Act. Final views on the reports in respect of the remaining cases are yet to be taken.

(g) The requisite information is being collected and will be laid on the Table fo the House.

(h) Details of substitutes are not available.

Drue equalisation fund account dues

.22173. DR. JOSEPH LEON D'SOUZA: Will the minister of CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS be pleased to refer to the answer to Starred Question 91 given in the Rajya Safoha on the 29th July, 1985 and state;

(a) whether it is a fact that crores of Rupees is due to the exchequer under drug_ equalisaion fund account only during the period 1983-84 on account of unintended benefit du_e to the price difference between the purchase and realised prices on phenoberbitone, Ibuprofen. Ephedine HCL, Trimethoprim, Sulphamet-hexazole, Furose-mide, Pyrental Paomate, Prednisolone, Pseudo Ephedne. Dextrose Ashy-drous, Isopropyl/Antipyrina and Gen-tamcin Sulphate;

(b) whether it a fact that claims worked out to around Rupees thirty lacs on Phenobarbitone, seventy three lacs on Ibuprofen, and fifty four lacs on Ephedrine Hcl;

(c) what is the amount du_e to Government under drug equalisation fund account on each drug during 1983-84 i only along with the names of the parties; and what are the reasons for not collecting the same?

THE MINISTER OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI VEERENDRA PATIL): (a) to (d) $Name_s$ of the companies and the bulk drugs for which they were issued notices for recovery into the Drug Prices Equalisation Account havo already been indicated in reply to Rajya Sabha